

REMARKS

The Examiner has provisionally rejected claims 1-25 for obviousness-type double patenting over co-pending application 11/619,202. Upon an indication that at least some claims are allowable, Applicant will file a terminal disclaimer.

Claims 1-25 have been rejected as obvious over Kolls (US 6,389,337). Kolls does not disclose any ability to associate an audio file with a vehicle function. The Examiner cites col. 31 of Kolls, but this just discloses the ability to play audio files, not any association with vehicle functions. Nor does Kolls provide any user interface for associating the audio file with the vehicle function (claim 4). Kolls states that “pre-recorded audio data can include instructional prompts and sounds, as well as warning prompts and other sounds” (col. 27, lines 11-14), but Kolls only states that this pre-recorded audio data is played. There is no ability to associate the audio data.

New dependent claim 26 is similar to previous claim 15, but depends from the method claim. New dependent claim 27 is also similar to previous claim 15, but depends from claim 4. New dependent claim 28 further requires that the storage permits modification by the user of the associating of the audio file and vehicle function.

Respectfully Submitted,
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.

/John E. Carlson/
John E. Carlson
Registration No. 37,794
400 West Maple, Suite 350
Birmingham, Michigan 48009
(248) 988-8360

Dated: May 28, 2008